IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California Corporation,		
Dis:::4:66 and)	
Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,)	
)	
V.)	C. A. No.: 04-1199 (SLR)
INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC.,)	
a Delaware Corporation,)	
INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC.,)	
a Georgia Corporation and)	
SYMANTEC CORPORATION,)	
a Delaware Corporation,)	
·)	
Defendants and)	
Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.		

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF TERESA LUNT

TO: John Horvath
Fish & Richardson P.C.
919 Market Street, Suite 1100
P.O. Box 1114
Wilmington, DE 19899
Howard G. Pollack
Michael J. Curley
Fish & Richardson P.C.
500 Arguello Street, Suite 500
Redwood City, CA 94063

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 45, Fed. R. Civ. P., Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs Internet Security Systems, Inc., a Georgia corporation ("ISS-GA"), Internet Security Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("ISS-DE"), and Symantec Corporation ("Symantec"), by their counsel, have served or will serve the accompanying subpoena for a deposition, by oral examination, of Teresa Lunt. The deposition is to commence at 9:00 a.m. on February 21, 2006 at the offices of Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder LLP, 20300 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 400, Cupertino, CA 95014, or at such alternative dates, times, and places as may be mutually agreed upon by counsel for ISS-GA, ISS-DE, Symantec and Teresa Lunt. The deposition shall be recorded by

stenographic and videographic means before a notary public or other officer authorized by law to administer oaths, and will continue from day to day until completed, weekends and holidays excepted, with adjournments as to time and place as may be necessary. Some or all of the deposition testimony may involve real-time computer connection between the deposition taker and court reporter using software such as "LiveNote." You are invited to attend.

/s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246) David E. Moore (#3983) Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899 Tel: (302) 984-6000 dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., a Georgia Corporation and INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation

OF COUNSEL:

Theresa A. Moehlman Jeffrey D. Blake King & Spalding LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Tel.: (212) 556-2100

Holmes J. Hawkins III Natasha H. Moffitt King & Spalding LLP 191 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30303 Tel: (404) 572-4600

/s/ Richard K. Herrmann

Richard K. Herrmann (#405) Morris James Hitchens & Williams LLP 222 Delaware Avenue, 10th Floor P.O. Box 2306 Wilmington, DE 19899 Tel: (302) 888-6800 rherrmann@morrisjames.com

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs SYMANTEC CORPORATION

OF COUNSEL:

Renee DuBord Brown Lloyd R. Day, Jr. Robert M. Galvin Paul S. Grewal Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder LLP 20300 Stevens Creek Blvd., Suite 400 Cupertino, CA 95014 Tel: (408) 873-0110

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of California

SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant, SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

C.A.: 04-1199 (SLR) District of Delaware

v.

INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., a Georgia Corporation, and SYMANTEC CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation,

> Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.

TO: Teresa Lunt c/o Fish & Richardson 500 Arguello Street Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063

YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District Court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.				
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM			
	DATE AND TIME			
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time deposition in the above case. (The deposition shall be recorded by stepublic or other officer authorized by law to administer oaths. Some of time computer connection between the deposition taker and court rep	enographic and videographic means before a notary or all of the deposition testimony may involve real-			
PLACE OF DEPOSITION Day Casebeer Madrid & Batchelder LLP, 20300 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Suite 400, Cupertino, CA 95014	DATE AND TIME February 21, 2006 9:00 a.m.			
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents and objects):				
PLACE	DATE AND TIME			
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.				
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME			

Case 1:04-cv-01199-SLR Document 126 Filed 02/13/2006 Page 4 of 6

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

ISSUING OFFICER SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)

DATE

February 13, 2006

Attorney for Internet Security Systems, Inc., a Georgia Corporation and Internet Security Systems, Inc., a Delaware Corporation

February 13, 2006

Renie Dukrord Brown

Attorney for Symantec Corporation

ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER Theresa A. Moehlman

King & Spalding LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Tel.: (212) 556-2100 Renee DuBord Brown
Day Casebeer Madrid &
Batchelder LLP
20300 Stevens Creek Boulevard
Suite 400
Cupertino, CA 95014

Tel.: (408) 342-4543

PROOF OF SERVICE				
	DATE	PLACE		
SERVED				
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		N	MANNER OF SERVICE	
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		7	TILE	
DECLARATION OF SERVER				
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.				
Executed on				
	DATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SIGNATURE OF SERVER	
		:	ADDRESS OF SERVER	

Rule 45. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for describing hearing or trial deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

 (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
 (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, expect that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii)

- of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, o
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the experts's study made not at the request of any party,
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect the person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

- (1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Document 126

I hereby certify that on the 13th day of February, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing document, NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF TERESA LUNT, with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing to the following:

John F. Horvath, Esq. Fish & Richardson, P.C. 919 North Market Street, Suite 1100 Wilmington, DE 19801

Richard L. Horwitz, Esq. David E. Moore, Esq. Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza 1313 North Market Street, 6th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Additionally, I hereby certify that on the 13th day of February, 2006, the foregoing document was served via email on the following non-registered participants:

Howard G. Pollack, Esq. Michael J. Curley, Esq. Fish & Richardson 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 650.839.5070

Holmes Hawkins, III, Esq. King & Spalding 191 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30303-1763 404.572.4600

/s/ Richard K. Herrmann

Richard K. Herrmann (#405) Mary B. Matterer (#2696) Morris, James, Hitchens & Williams LLP 222 Delaware Avenue, 10th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 888-6800 rherrmann@morrisjames.com

Counsel for Defendant SYMANTEC CORPORATION